

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
7

8 SANDRA M. MEZA-PEREZ,

9 Plaintiff(s),

10 v.

11 SBARRO LLC, et al.,

12 Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:19-cv-00373-APG-NJK

Order

(Docket No. 69)

13 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's (1) response to the notice of non-opposition and (2)
14 notice of intent to oppose Defendant Sbarro's motion to compel and seek leave of the Court to
15 extend the deadline. Docket No. 69. Plainly, Plaintiff failed to respond to Defendant's motion to
16 compel by the deadline to do so under the Court's order, Docket No. 22. Local Rule 7-2(d) states
17 that failing to timely respond to a motion "constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion."

18 As a one-time courtesy, however, the Court extends the deadline to respond to Defendant's
19 motion to compel to October 15, 2019. Separately, the Court reminds Plaintiff's counsel that
20 deadlines are to be complied with. If a party cannot meet a deadline, the party must seek relief
21 from the Court in compliance with Local Rule 26-4. It is inappropriate to file a notice of intent to
22 oppose a motion for which the deadline to do so has passed and of intent to seek leave of the Court
23 to extend an expired deadline.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: October 11, 2019

26
27 
28
Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge